



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,881	12/30/2004	Tetsuya Sakata	10921.0268USWO	7393
52835 7590 07/16/2009 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902				
EXAMINER				
DOUGHERTY, SEAN PATRICK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3736				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/16/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/519,881

Applicant(s)

SAKATA ET AL.

Examiner

SEAN P. DOUGHERTY

Art Unit

3736

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15 and 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/14/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second Office action based on the 10/519881 application filed 12/30/2004. Claims 15-26 are currently pending and have been fully considered below.

Response to Amendment

The amendment(s) filed 09/29/2008 by Applicant have been considered by Examiner. Examiner acknowledges new claim(s) 16-26 and cancelled claim(s) 1-14. Applicant's claim of priority JP Application No. 2002-193846 has overcome the prior art of US 5,370,759 to Farhi. The previous rejections of the claims are withdrawn. The following new ground(s) of rejection(s) is/are set forth below:

Priority

Certified copies of the priority documents for JP Application No. 2002-193846 have been received in this National State application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 08/14/2008 is/are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement(s) is/are being considered by Examiner

Election/Restrictions

Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without indication of traverse in the reply filed on 04/17/2009.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim(s) 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 21, it is unclear what is meant by the limitation "a lancet holder that holds a lancet for reciprocal movement along a longitudinal axis of the housing" because it is not distinctly claimed if the lancet holder or the lancet held by the lancet holder is meant for movement along a longitudinal axis of the housing. The preposition

"for" renders the claim indefinite as it is unclear which structure limitation of the claim "for" is in reference to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15 and 21-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 7,500,967 to Thorley et al. (hereinafter "Thorley").

Regarding claim 15, Thorley discloses a cam mechanism comprising a cylindrical housing (118) best seen in Fig. 8, a movable member (115) best seen in Fig. 2 contained in the housing (col. 3, ll. 35-36) for moving reciprocally in first and second directions parallel to a longitudinal axis of the housing (note that elements 116 and 117 allow for moveable member 115 to move in first and second directions parallel to a longitudinal axis of housing 118), a first groove (123) inclined relative to the axis of the housing and a second groove (117) connected to the first groove (col. 4, ll. 27-28) and extending linearly in parallel to the axis of the housing (note that first groove extends linearly in parallel to the axis of the housing), the first and the second grooves being provided at the movable member, and a protrusion (122) provided at the movable member (col. 4, ll. 25-26), the protrusion extending into the first groove and the second groove (col. 5, ll. 1-2), where the protrusion moves in the first groove when the movable

member moves in the first direction, and moves in the second groove when the movable member moves in the second direction. Note a first direction of moveable member moves the protrusion through the first groove (turning) and a second direction moves the protrusion in a second direction (movement along the longitudinal axis) through the second groove.

Regarding claim 21, Thorley discloses a lancing device comprising a cylindrical housing (118) best seen in Fig. 8, a lancet holder (115) that holds a lancet (413) for reciprocal movement along a longitudinal axis of the housing (note that element 115, includes a proximal end that may be grasped by a user to manipulate and support housing 118 when element 115 is within housing 118, therefore, also holding the lancet), a moving mechanism (116) for advancing the lancet holder along the longitudinal axis of the housing, and a cam mechanism (123) for converting a retracting movement of the lancet holder along the longitudinal axis of the housing into rotation of the lancet holder.

Regarding claim 22, note that the lancet holder and the lancet of Thorley are not rotatable relative to each other when the protrusion is within the moving mechanism (116) as the lancet holder may only move along the longitudinal axis in this position. The cam mechanism is capable of causing the lancet holder and the housing to rotate at the same time, therefore, the cam mechanism is capable of causing the lancet holder to rotate together with the lancet.

Regarding claim 23, Thorley discloses where the cam mechanism includes a first groove (123) which provided on the housing and is inclined relative to the longitudinal

axis of the housing, and a protrusion (122) which is provided on the lancet holder and is fitted in the first groove. Note that since the housing and the lancet holder touch, the first groove, while part of the lancet holder, may be considered on the housing. Similarly, the protrusion, while part of the housing, may be considered on the lancet holder.

Regarding claim 24, Thorley discloses where the cam mechanism further includes a second groove (117) connected to the first groove (col. 4, ll. 27-28) and extending in parallel to the longitudinal axis of the housing, and where the protrusion passes through the second groove when the lancet holder advances (col. 6, ll. 60-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 25 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 7,500,967 to Thorley et al. (hereinafter "Thorley"), as applied to claim 21 above, in view of US 5,989,917 to McAleer et al. (hereinafter "McAleer").

Regarding claims 25 and 26, Thorley discloses the claimed invention as set forth in claim 21, above. Thorley does not expressly disclose a holding portion for removably holding an analyzer used for analyzing a sample taken by a piercing process and a control circuit for analyzing the sample using the analyzer.

McAleer is a reference in analogous art that discloses a holding portion (1) for removably holding an analyzer ("disposable test strip"; see abstract) taken by a piercing process and a control circuit (52) for analyzing the sample using the analyzer. One having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to include the holding portion, analyzer and control circuit of McAleer with the device of Thorley, since the predictable result of performing an electrochemical analysis of the amount of an analyte such as glucose as established in McAleer's abstract on a blood sample taken by the device of Thorley would ensue.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SEAN P. DOUGHERTY whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3736

(571)270-5044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sean P. Dougherty/
Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736